SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2003 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S117639 UHRICH v. STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY

C036415 Third Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 20, 2003

S117778 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. VALDEZ

C036614 Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 20, 2003

S117779 SMITH (ADRIENNE) v. INLAND CROP DUSTERS

F039871 Fifth Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 27, 2003

S117799 PEOPLE v. RIVERA

H023399 Sixth Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 24, 2003

S117816 MARINA, CITY OF v. BOARD OF TRUSTEES

H023158 Sixth Appellate District CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 27, 2003

S117879 PEOPLE v. CHACON

F038393 Fifth Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 27, 2003

S117880 LAW v. TERAYON COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

H023523 Sixth Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 27, 2003

F038205 Fifth Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

F038295

F038296 to October 29, 2003

S117900 FENN v. SHERRIFF

C041899 Third Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 27, 2003

S117901 SANTA MONICA RENT CONTROL BOARD. v.

B157751 Second Appellate District, PEARL STREET LLC.

Division Three Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 29, 2003

S117911 MCKESSON HBOC v. S.C. (OREGON)

A103055 First Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

Division Four to October 30, 2003

S117984 NEWTON v. CLEMONS (COUNTY OF SAN

E031878 Fourth Appellate District, BERNARDINO

Division Two Time extended to grant or deny review

to October 20, 2003.

S056891 PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (JAMES)

Extension of time granted

to December 1, 2003 to file appellant's opening

brief.

S062259 PEOPLE v. SCULLY (ROBERT W.)

Extension of time granted

to November 21, 2003 to appellant to request correction of the record. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 90 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform the Sonoma

County Superior Court and his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S068536

PEOPLE v. MCWHORTER (RICHARD) Extension of time granted

to November 12, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S102580

STEWART (RICHARD) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to October 14, 2003 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. Extension is granted based upon counsel Melissa Hill's representation that she anticipates filing that document by 10/14/2003. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

\$107355

A096012 First Appellate District, Division Five LAMUSGA, MARRIAGE OF Extension of time granted

to October 17, 2003 to file appellant's response to amicus curiae briefs filed by Leslie E. Shear, et al., and by Richard A. Warshak, Ph.D. No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S073205

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (JACK) Counsel appointment order filed

appointing R. Clayton Seaman, Jr. to represent appellant for the direct appeal.

S105909

B146368 Second Appellate District, Division Two HAGBERG v. CALIFORNIA FEDERAL BANK Order filed

The request for judicial notice filed on May 19, 2003, is denied.

S117037

FRANCISCO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that GORDON ROBERT FRANCISCO, State Bar No. 93926, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Gordon Robert Francisco is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S117044

MESSER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that **SEBASTIAN CHARLES MESSER**, **State Bar No. 156586**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. **Sebastian Charles Messer** is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S117045

LAFRANCHI ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **ROBERT JAMES** LAFRANCHI, State Bar No. 57553, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days. Robert James Lafranchi is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed May 1, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the vears 2004 and 2005. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S117047

SIMMS ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **QUENTIN BURNELL** SIMMS, State Bar No. 153454, be suspended from the practice of law for 24 months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 36 months subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 8, 2003. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days,

respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004 and 2005. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S117048

QUINTANA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that **GREGORY LESLIE QUINTANA**, **State Bar No. 67208**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. **Gregory Leslie Quintana** is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S117219

SPRATT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **THOMAS J. SPRATT**, **State** Bar No. 186348, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed May 13, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable

in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S117223

BENNETT ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **Deborah Ann Bennett**, **State** Bar No. 147733, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 16, 2003. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6086.10) and shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the year 2004.

S117224

CARLSON ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **KENNETH HERBERT** CARLSON, State Bar No. 93602, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 25, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S117227

FIEGEL ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that STEPHEN M. FIEGEL, State Bar No. 147755, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation, including actual suspension for 10 months or until the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline, whichever is later, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 24, 2003. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on January 1, 2003. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S119107

SPEER ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of **ROBERT WILLIAM SPEER**, **State Bar No. 60040**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in

subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:
(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)